The second law in nanoscale thermodynamics and no-broadcasting of reference frame information

Markus P. Müller

[1] Institute for Quantum Optics and Quantum Information, Vienna
[2] Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Canada

IQI Vienna

Outline

1. Resource-theoretic approach to thermodynamics

2. Single-shot interpretation of von Neumann entropy and free energy (block-diagonal states)

3. A no-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry

4. Conclusions

Outline

1. **Resource-theoretic approach to thermodynamics**

2. Single-shot interpretation of von Neumann entropy and free energy (block-diagonal states)

3. A no-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry

4. Conclusions

Standard view: thermodynamic limit



Standard view: thermodynamic limit

For simplicity, let us always assume that we work at a fixed **background temperature** T (and fixed volume).



Standard view: thermodynamic limit

For simplicity, let us always assume that we work at a fixed **background temperature** *T* (and fixed volume).

Folklore: spontaneous processes have

$$\Delta F \leq 0 \qquad \text{(2nd law)},$$

where $F = U - TS$.

If this is negative, then we can extract $|\Delta F|$ of work from the system.



Standard view: thermodynamic limit

For simplicity, let us always assume that we work at a fixed **background temperature** *T* (and fixed volume).

Folklore: spontaneous processes have

$$\Delta F \leq 0 \qquad \text{(2nd law)},$$

where $F = U - TS$.
If this is negative, then we can extract $|\Delta F|$ of work from the system.

But this is a statement **on average**, since "work" is a random variable.



Standard view: thermodynamic limit

Work is a **random variable** (for fixed process):

Standard view: thermodynamic limit

Work is a **random variable** (for fixed process):



small # of particles



n particles

Standard view: thermodynamic limit

Work is a **random variable** (for fixed process):



small # of particles



n particles

Extractable work "is" (optimally) ΔF :
only true in the thermodynamic limit $n \to \infty$
when fluctuations become irrelevant (law of large numbers).

Standard view: thermodynamic limit

But what do we do for “small” (quantum?) or strongly correlated systems? Work $\approx$ its fluctuations $\longrightarrow$ reliability?

Standard view: thermodynamic limit

But what do we do for “small” (quantum?) or strongly correlated systems? Work $\approx$ its fluctuations $\longrightarrow$ reliability?

Landauer erasure:



Impossible since entropy decreases $\Rightarrow \Delta F > 0.$

Standard view: thermodynamic limit

But what do we do for “small” (quantum?) or strongly correlated systems? Work $\approx$ its fluctuations $\longrightarrow$ reliability?

Landauer erasure:



Impossible since entropy decreases $\Rightarrow \Delta F > 0.$

But: Bennett’s puzzle: $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \longrightarrow \left(1-\epsilon, \frac{\epsilon}{N}, \frac{\epsilon}{N}, \ldots, \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)$

has $\Delta S > 0 \Rightarrow \Delta F < 0$ but should be impossible

Standard view: thermodynamic limit

But what do we do for “small” (quantum?) or strongly correlated systems? Work $\approx$ its fluctuations $\longrightarrow$ reliability?

Landauer

e

Free energy *F* determines possibility of state transitions **only in the thermodynamic limit.** For “small” systems, resource theory formulation gives **additional constraints** (and solves Bennett’s puzzle). More soon.

In

But: Bennett’s puzzle:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \longrightarrow \left(1-\epsilon, \frac{\epsilon}{N}, \frac{\epsilon}{N}, \ldots, \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)$$

has $\Delta S > 0 \Rightarrow \Delta F < 0$ but should be impossible

Thermodynamics as a resource theory

The rules of the game:

- It is "free" to bring in any system *B* in its thermal state $\gamma_B = \exp(-H_B/(k_B T))$,
- strictly energy-preserving unitaries are free,
- and it is free to trace over (ignore) systems.

The rules of the game:

- It is "free" to bring in any system *B* in its thermal state $\gamma_B = \exp(-H_B/(k_B T))$,
- strictly energy-preserving unitaries are free,
- and it is free to trace over (ignore) systems.

Def.: A *thermal operation* $\mathcal{T}$ is a map of the form

$$\mathcal{T}(\rho_A) = \mathrm{Tr}_B \left[U_{AB} \left(\rho_A \otimes \gamma_B \right) U_{AB}^\dagger \right]$$

where $[U_{AB}, H_A + H_B] = 0.$

Thermodynamics as a resource theory

The rules of the game:

- It is "free" to bring in any system *B* in its thermal state $\gamma_B = \exp(-H_B/(k_B T))$,
- strictly energy-preserving unitaries are free,
- and it is free to trace over (ignore) systems.

Def.: A *thermal operation* $\mathcal{T}$ is a map of the form

$$\mathcal{T}(\rho_A) = \mathrm{Tr}_B \left[U_{AB} \left(\rho_A \otimes \gamma_B \right) U_{AB}^\dagger \right]$$

where $[U_{AB}, H_A + H_B] = 0.$

Question: Which transitions (work extraction etc.) are possible via thermal operations?

Thermodynamics as a resource theory

Def.: A *thermal operation* $\mathcal{T}$ is a map of the form

$$\mathcal{T}(\rho_A) = \mathrm{Tr}_B \left[U_{AB} \left(\rho_A \otimes \gamma_B \right) U_{AB}^\dagger \right]$$

where $[U_{AB}, H_A + H_B] = 0.$

Thermodynamics as a resource theory

Def.: A *thermal operation* $\mathcal{T}$ is a map of the form

$$\mathcal{T}(\rho_A) = \mathrm{Tr}_B \left[U_{AB} \left(\rho_A \otimes \gamma_B \right) U_{AB}^\dagger \right]$$

where $[U_{AB}, H_A + H_B] = 0.$

Theorem (Horodecki, Oppenheim, Nat. Comm. 4 (2013)):

For **block-diagonal** states, $\rho_A \mapsto \rho'_A$ is possible via some thermal operation iff ρ_A *thermo-majorizes* ρ'_A.

Thermodynamics as a resource theory

Def.: A *thermal operation* $\mathcal{T}$ is a map of the form

$$\mathcal{T}(\rho_A) = \mathrm{Tr}_B \left[U_{AB} \left(\rho_A \otimes \gamma_B \right) U_{AB}^\dagger \right]$$

where $[U_{AB}, H_A + H_B] = 0.$

Theorem (Horodecki, Oppenheim, Nat. Comm. 4 (2013)):

For **block-diagonal** states, $\rho_A \mapsto \rho'_A$ is possible via some thermal operation iff ρ_A *thermo-majorizes* ρ'_A.





$$p_1 e^{\beta E_1} \geq p_2 e^{\beta E_2} \geq \ldots$$

Thermodynamics as a resource theory

The second laws of quantum thermodynamics

Fernando Brandão[a,1], Michał Horodecki[b], Nelly Ng[c], Jonathan Oppenheim[c,d,2], and Stephanie Wehner[c,e]

[a]Department of Computer Science, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; [b]Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej i Astrofizyki, University of Gdansk, 80-952 Gdansk, Poland; [c]Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 117543 Singapore; [d]Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; and [e]School of Computing, National University of Singapore, 117417 Singapor

Edited by Peter W. Shor, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, and approved January 12, 2015 (received for review June 26, 2014)

Thermodynamics as a resource theory

The second laws of quantum thermodynamics

Fernando Brandão[a,1], Michał Horodecki[b], Nelly Ng[c], Jonathan Oppenheim[c,d,2], and Stephanie Wehner[c,e]

[a]Department of Computer Science, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; [b]Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej i Astrofizyki, University of Gdansk, 80-952 Gdansk, Poland; [c]Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 117543 Singapore; [d]Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; and [e]School of Computing, National University of Singapore, 117417 Singapor

Edited by Peter W. Shor, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, and approved January 12, 2015 (received for review June 26, 2014)

Consider thermal operations on block-diag. states (as before); but now, allow an **additional catalyst**.

Thermodynamics as a resource theory

The second laws of quantum thermodynamics

Fernando Brandão[a,1], Michał Horodecki[b], Nelly Ng[c], Jonathan Oppenheim[c,d,2], and Stephanie Wehner[c,e]

[a]Department of Computer Science, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; [b]Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej i Astrofizyki, University of Gdansk, 80-952 Gdansk, Poland; [c]Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 117543 Singapore; [d]Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; and [e]School of Computing, National University of Singapore, 117417 Singapor

Edited by Peter W. Shor, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, and approved January 12, 2015 (received for review June 26, 2014)

Consider thermal operations on block-diag. states (as before); but now, allow an **additional catalyst**.



Thermodynamics as a resource theory

The second laws of quantum thermodynamics

Fernando Brandão[a,1], Michał Horodecki[b], Nelly Ng[c], Jonathan Oppenheim[c,d,2], and Stephanie Wehner[c,e]

[a]Department of Computer Science, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; [b]Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej i Astrofizyki, University of Gdansk, 80-952 Gdansk, Poland; [c]Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 117543 Singapore; [d]Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; and [e]School of Computing, National University of Singapore, 117417 Singapor

Edited by Peter W. Shor, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, and approved January 12, 2015 (received for review June 26, 2014)

Consider thermal operations on block-diag. states (as before); but now, allow an **additional catalyst**.



$$\mathrm{Tr}_R\left[U_{SRC}(\rho_S \otimes \sigma_C \otimes \gamma_R)U_{SRC}^\dagger\right]$$
$$= \rho_S' \otimes \sigma_C$$

Thermodynamics as a resource theory

The second laws of quantum thermodynamics

Fernando Brandão[a,1], Michał Horodecki[b], Nelly Ng[c], Jonathan Oppenheim[c,d,2], and Stephanie Wehner[c,e]

[a]Department of Computer Science, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; [b]Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej i Astrofizyki, University of Gdansk, 80-952 Gdansk, Poland; [c]Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 117543 Singapore; [d]Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; and [e]School of Computing, National University of Singapore, 117417 Singapor

Edited by Peter W. Shor, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, and approved January 12, 2015 (received for review June 26, 2014)

Consider thermal operations on block-diag. states (as before); but now, allow an **additional catalyst**.



$$\mathrm{Tr}_R\left[U_{SRC}(\rho_S \otimes \sigma_C \otimes \gamma_R)U^\dagger_{SRC}\right] = \rho'_S \otimes \sigma_C$$

thermal reservoir

Thermodynamics as a resource theory

The second laws of quantum thermodynamics

Fernando Brandão[a,1], Michał Horodecki[b], Nelly Ng[c], Jonathan Oppenheim[c,d,2], and Stephanie Wehner[c,e]

[a]Department of Computer Science, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; [b]Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej i Astrofizyki, University of Gdansk, 80-952 Gdansk, Poland; [c]Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 117543 Singapore; [d]Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; and [e]School of Computing, National University of Singapore, 117417 Singapor

Edited by Peter W. Shor, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, and approved January 12, 2015 (received for review June 26, 2014)

Consider thermal operations on block-diag. states (as before); but now, allow an **additional catalyst**.



$$\mathrm{Tr}_R\left[U_{SRC}(\rho_S \otimes \sigma_C \otimes \gamma_R)U_{SRC}^\dagger\right] = \rho_S' \otimes \sigma_C$$

thermal reservoir

$$[U_{SRC}, H_S + H_R + H_C] = 0$$

(energy strictly preserved)

Thermodynamics as a resource theory

The second laws of quantum thermodynamics

Fernando Brandão[a,1], Michał Horodecki[b], Nelly Ng[c], Jonathan Oppenheim[c,d,2], and Stephanie Wehner[c,e]

[a]Department of Computer Science, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; [b]Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej i Astrofizyki, University of Gdansk, 80-952 Gdansk, Poland; [c]Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 117543 Singapore; [d]Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; and [e]School of Computing, National University of Singapore, 117417 Singapor

Edited by Peter W. Shor, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, and approved January 12, 2015 (received for review June 26, 2014)



Thermodynamics as a resource theory

The second laws of quantum thermodynamics

Fernando Brandão[a,1], Michał Horodecki[b], Nelly Ng[c], Jonathan Oppenheim[c,d,2], and Stephanie Wehner[c,e]

[a]Department of Computer Science, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; [b]Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej i Astrofizyki, University of Gdansk, 80-952 Gdansk, Poland; [c]Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 117543 Singapore; [d]Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; and [e]School of Computing, National University of Singapore, 117417 Singapor

Edited by Peter W. Shor, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, and approved January 12, 2015 (received for review June 26, 2014)

Theorem: $\rho \to \rho'$ is possible (for block-diagonal states) iff

$$F_\alpha(\rho) \geq F_\alpha(\rho') \;\; \forall \alpha$$

("free energies").



Thermodynamics as a resource theory

The second laws of quantum thermodynamics

Fernando Brandão[a,1], Michał Horodecki[b], Nelly Ng[c], Jonathan Oppenheim[c,d,2], and Stephanie Wehner[c,e]

[a]Department of Computer Science, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; [b]Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej i Astrofizyki, University of Gdansk, 80-952 Gdansk, Poland; [c]Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 117543 Singapore; [d]Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; and [e]School of Computing, National University of Singapore, 117417 Singapor

Edited by Peter W. Shor, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, and approved January 12, 2015 (received for review June 26, 2014)

Theorem: $\rho \to \rho'$ is possible (for block-diagonal states) iff

$$F_\alpha(\rho) \geq F_\alpha(\rho') \;\; \forall \alpha$$

("free energies").

$$F(\rho_A) \equiv F_1(\rho) = \mathrm{tr}(\rho_A H_A) - k_B T S(\rho_A),$$

$$F_\alpha(\rho) = k_B T S_\alpha(\rho \| \gamma) + F_\alpha(\gamma).$$

Rényi divergence



Thermodynamics as a resource theory

Theorem: $\rho \to \rho'$ is possible (for block-diagonal states) iff $F_\alpha(\rho) \geq F_\alpha(\rho') \;\; \forall \alpha$ ("free energies").



Thermodynamics as a resource theory

Theorem: $\rho \to \rho'$ is possible (for block-diagonal states) iff $F_\alpha(\rho) \geq F_\alpha(\rho') \;\; \forall \alpha$ ("free energies").

This solves Bennett's puzzle:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \longrightarrow \left(1-\epsilon, \frac{\epsilon}{N}, \frac{\epsilon}{N}, \ldots, \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)$$

has $\Delta F \equiv \Delta F_1 < 0$ but should be impossible.

Thermodynamics as a resource theory

Theorem: $\rho \to \rho'$ is possible (for block-diagonal states) iff $F_\alpha(\rho) \geq F_\alpha(\rho')\ \ \forall \alpha$ ("free energies").

This solves Bennett's puzzle:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \longrightarrow \left(1-\epsilon, \frac{\epsilon}{N}, \frac{\epsilon}{N}, \ldots, \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)$$

has $\Delta F \equiv \Delta F_1 < 0$ but should be impossible.



$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{100}, \quad N = 10^{30}.$$

Some $\Delta F_\alpha > 0$ hence **indeed impossible.**

How does the thermodynamic limit enter?

Theorem: $\rho \to \rho'$ is possible (for block-diagonal states) iff

$F_\alpha(\rho) \geq F_\alpha(\rho') \;\; \forall \alpha$ ("free energies").

How does the thermodynamic limit enter?

Theorem: $\rho \to \rho'$ is possible (for block-diagonal states) iff $F_\alpha(\rho) \geq F_\alpha(\rho') \;\; \forall \alpha$ ("free energies").

Brandão et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 250404 (2013):

Allowing small errors ε, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} F_\alpha^{(\varepsilon)}(\rho^{\otimes n}) \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} F(\rho).$$

How does the thermodynamic limit enter?

Theorem: $\rho \to \rho'$ is possible (for block-diagonal states) iff $F_\alpha(\rho) \geq F_\alpha(\rho') \;\; \forall \alpha$ ("free energies").

Brandão et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 250404 (2013):

Allowing small errors ε, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} F_\alpha^{(\varepsilon)}(\rho^{\otimes n}) \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} F(\rho).$$

$\Rightarrow$ For large numbers *n* of weakly interacting particles, it is only the free energy *F* that remains relevant.

Outline

1. **Resource-theoretic approach to thermodynamics**

2. Single-shot interpretation of von Neumann entropy and free energy (block-diagonal states)

3. A no-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry

4. Conclusions

Outline

1. Resource-theoretic approach to thermodynamics

2. **Single-shot interpretation of von Neumann entropy and free energy (block-diagonal states)**

3. A no-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry

4. Conclusions

New one-shot interpretation of free energy

MM, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041051 (2018)

Building on earlier work with my students Jakob Scharlau and Michele Pastena, and with Matteo Lostaglio.



New one-shot interpretation of free energy

MM, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041051 (2018)



New one-shot interpretation of free energy

MM, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041051 (2018)



Preserve catalyst exactly, but allow **correlations** to build up.

New one-shot interpretation of free energy

MM, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041051 (2018)



Preserve catalyst exactly, but allow **correlations** to build up.

Okay for situations like these:

thermal machine,
acting on one system at a time,
not encountering systems again



New one-shot interpretation of free energy

MM, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041051 (2018)



Preserve catalyst exactly, but allow **correlations** to build up.

thermal machine,
acting on one system at a time,
not encountering systems again

Okay for situations like these:

Can this help?
Yes!



New one-shot interpretation of free energy

MM, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041051 (2018)

Theorem. Let ρ_A, ρ'_A be block-diagonal states. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a thermal operation $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$, a state $\rho'_A(\varepsilon)$ with $\|\rho'_A - \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\| < \varepsilon$ and a finite-dimensional catalyst σ_C such that

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\rho_A \otimes \sigma_C) = \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\sigma_C$$

if and only if $F(\rho_A) \geq F(\rho'_A)$.

New one-shot interpretation of free energy

MM, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041051 (2018)

Theorem. Let ρ_A, ρ'_A be block-diagonal states. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a thermal operation $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$, a state $\rho'_A(\varepsilon)$ with $\|\rho'_A - \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\| < \varepsilon$ and a finite-dimensional catalyst σ_C such that

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\rho_A \otimes \sigma_C) = \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\sigma_C$$

if and only if $F(\rho_A) \geq F(\rho'_A)$.

"Single-shot" interpretation of $F(\rho) = \mathrm{tr}(\rho H) + k_B T\, \mathrm{tr}(\rho \log \rho)$.

New one-shot interpretation of free energy

MM, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041051 (2018)

Theorem. Let ρ_A, ρ'_A be block-diagonal states. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a thermal operation $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$, a state $\rho'_A(\varepsilon)$ with $\|\rho'_A - \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\| < \varepsilon$ and a finite-dimensional catalyst σ_C such that

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\rho_A \otimes \sigma_C) = \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\sigma_C$$

if and only if $F(\rho_A) \geq F(\rho'_A)$.

"Single-shot" interpretation of
$F(\rho) = \mathrm{tr}(\rho H) + k_B T\, \mathrm{tr}(\rho \log \rho)$.

Notation: $\omega_{AC} = \rho'_A \sigma_C$ means that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_C \omega_{AC} = \rho'_A, \;\; \mathrm{Tr}_A \omega_{AC} = \sigma_C.$$

Main theorem

MM, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041051 (2018)



Main theorem

MM, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041051 (2018)



- Fluctuation-free **work of formation**: If $\Delta > F(\rho'_A) - F(\rho_A) > 0$, then transition possible while work bit $|e\rangle_W \mapsto |g\rangle_W$.

Main theorem

MM, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041051 (2018)



- Fluctuation-free **work of formation**: If $\Delta > F(\rho'_A) - F(\rho_A) > 0$, then transition possible while work bit $|e\rangle_W \mapsto |g\rangle_W$.

- *Almost* fluct.-free **work extraction**: If $F(\rho_A) - F(\rho'_A) > \Delta > 0$, then transition possible while work bit (for arbitrary $\delta > 0$)

$$|g\rangle\langle g|_W \mapsto (1-\delta)|e\rangle\langle e|_W + \delta\,\mathbf{1}/d.$$

Outline

1. Resource-theoretic approach to thermodynamics

2. **Single-shot interpretation of von Neumann entropy and free energy (block-diagonal states)**

3. A no-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry

4. Conclusions

Outline

1. Resource-theoretic approach to thermodynamics

2. Single-shot interpretation of von Neumann entropy and free energy (block-diagonal states)

3. **A no-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry**

4. Conclusions

What if the states are **not block-diagonal?**

Theorem. Let ρ_A, ρ'_A be block-diagonal states. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a thermal operation $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$, a state $\rho'_A(\varepsilon)$ with $\|\rho'_A - \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\| < \varepsilon$ and a finite-dimensional catalyst σ_C such that

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\rho_A \otimes \sigma_C) = \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\sigma_C$$

if and only if $F(\rho_A) \geq F(\rho'_A)$.

What if the states are **not block-diagonal?**

Theorem. Let ρ_A, ρ'_A be block-diagonal states. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a thermal operation $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$, a state $\rho'_A(\varepsilon)$ with $\|\rho'_A - \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\| < \varepsilon$ and a finite-dimensional catalyst σ_C such that

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\rho_A \otimes \sigma_C) = \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\sigma_C$$

if and only if $F(\rho_A) \geq F(\rho'_A)$.

Suppose that $[\rho_A, H_A] = 0,$ but $[\rho'_A, H_A] \neq 0.$

Furthermore, suppose that $F(\rho_A) > F(\rho'_A).$

What if the states are **not block-diagonal?**

Theorem. Let ρ_A, ρ'_A be block-diagonal states. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a thermal operation $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$, a state $\rho'_A(\varepsilon)$ with $\|\rho'_A - \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\| < \varepsilon$ and a finite-dimensional catalyst σ_C such that

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\rho_A \otimes \sigma_C) = \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\sigma_C$$

if and only if $F(\rho_A) \geq F(\rho'_A)$.

Suppose that $[\rho_A, H_A] = 0$, but $[\rho'_A, H_A] \neq 0$.

Furthermore, suppose that $F(\rho_A) > F(\rho'_A)$.

Is the above still possible?

What if the states are **not block-diagonal?**

Theorem. Let ρ_A, ρ'_A be block-diagonal states. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a thermal operation $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$, a state $\rho'_A(\varepsilon)$ with $\|\rho'_A - \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\| < \varepsilon$ and a finite-dimensional catalyst σ_C such that

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\rho_A \otimes \sigma_C) = \rho'_A(\varepsilon)\sigma_C$$

if and only if $F(\rho_A) \geq F(\rho'_A)$.

Suppose that $[\rho_A, H_A] = 0$, but $[\rho'_A, H_A] \neq 0$.

Furthermore, suppose that $F(\rho_A) > F(\rho'_A)$.

Is the above still possible?

Note that thermal operations are time-translation **covariant**:

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{U}_t(\rho_{AC})) = \mathcal{U}_t(\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\rho_{AC})), \text{ where } \mathcal{U}_t = e^{-itH} \bullet e^{itH}.$$

What if the states are **not block-diagonal?**

Is it possible to have $\mathcal{T}(\rho_A \otimes \sigma_C) = \rho'_A \sigma_C,$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is time-translation covariant, and
ρ_A is time-translation symmetric, but ρ'_A isn't?

What if the states are **not block-diagonal?**

Is it possible to have $\mathcal{T}(\rho_A \otimes \sigma_C) = \rho'_A \sigma_C,$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is time-translation covariant, and
ρ_A is time-translation symmetric, but ρ'_A isn't?

"Broadcasting coherence (=timing information) of C"



What if the states are **not block-diagonal?**

Is it possible to have $\mathcal{T}(\rho_A \otimes \sigma_C) = \rho'_A \sigma_C,$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is time-translation covariant, and
ρ_A is time-translation symmetric, but ρ'_A isn't?

"Broadcasting coherence (=timing information) of C"



(finite-dimensional)

Is is **not obviously impossible**: cf. for the Fisher information,

$I(\rho_{AC}) \ll I(\rho_A) + I(\rho_C)$ in some cases.

No-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry



M. Lostaglio and MM, to appear in PRL (2019)

No-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry



M. Lostaglio and MM, to appear in PRL (2019)

No-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry



M. Lostaglio and MM, to appear in PRL (2019)

Special case $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R}$ of the following

Theorem. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be any connected Lie group. Then $\mathcal{G}$-asymmetry broadcasting is impossible.

No-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry



M. Lostaglio and MM, to appear in PRL (2019)

Special case $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R}$ of the following

Theorem. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be any connected Lie group. Then $\mathcal{G}$-asymmetry broadcasting is impossible.

In particular, this is true for $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R}$, the group of time translation. **Coherence cannot be broadcast.**

No-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry

No-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry

Åberg has shown: a weak version of coherence broadcasting is possible with an infinite-dimensional “catalyst”.

PRL **113,** 150402 (2014) PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS week ending 10 OCTOBER 2014

Catalytic Coherence

Johan Åberg*
Institute for Physics, University of Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Strasse 3, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany
(Received 10 December 2013; revised manuscript received 30 July 2014; published 7 October 2014)

Because of conservation of energy we cannot directly turn a quantum system with a definite energy into a superposition of different energies. However, if we have access to an additional resource in terms of a system with a high degree of coherence, as for standard models of laser light, we can overcome this

No-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry

Åberg has shown: a weak version of coherence broadcasting is possible with an infinite-dimensional “catalyst”.

PRL **113,** 150402 (2014) PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS week ending 10 OCTOBER 2014

Catalytic Coherence

Johan Åberg*
Institute for Physics, University of Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Strasse 3, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany
(Received 10 December 2013; revised manuscript received 30 July 2014; published 7 October 2014)

Because of conservation of energy we cannot directly turn a quantum system with a definite energy into a superposition of different energies. However, if we have access to an additional resource in terms of a system with a high degree of coherence, as for standard models of laser light, we can overcome this

However, we show that even this weak version is impossible in **finite** dimensions.

No-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry

Åberg has shown: a weak version of coherence broadcasting is possible with an infinite-dimensional “catalyst”.


PRL **113,** 150402 (2014) PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS week ending 10 OCTOBER 2014

Catalytic Coherence

Institute for Physics, Universi
(Received 10 December 201

Because of conservation of
a superposition of different en
system with a high degree of

However, we show that even this weak version is impossible in **finite** dimensions.





No-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry

M. Lostaglio and MM, arXiv:1812.08214, to appear in PRL (2019)



FIG. 1. *A weak broadcasting protocol* (see Def. 3). A reference system R distributes asymmetry/coherence to a system S_n, transforming it from an eigenstate to a superposition of eigenstates. The state of R gets correlated to S_n and is allowed to change, but it must be able to induce the same transition on a fresh copy of the system S_{n+1} for all n. Åberg's protocol [11] is a special case of weak broadcasting of coherence with $\dim R = \infty$.

No-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry

M. Lostaglio and MM, arXiv:1812.08214, to appear in PRL (2019)



$$\mathcal{E}^{(n)}\left(\rho_S \otimes \rho_R^{(n)}\right) = \rho'_S \rho_R^{(n+1)}$$

FIG. 1. *A weak broadcasting protocol* (see Def. 3). A reference system R distributes asymmetry/coherence to a system S_n, transforming it from an eigenstate to a superposition of eigenstates. The state of R gets correlated to S_n and is allowed to change, but it must be able to induce the same transition on a fresh copy of the system S_{n+1} for all n. Åberg's protocol [11] is a special case of weak broadcasting of coherence with $\dim R = \infty$.

No-broadcasting theorem for coherence and asymmetry

M. Lostaglio and MM, arXiv:1812.08214, to appear in PRL (2019)



$$\mathcal{E}^{(n)}\left(\rho_S \otimes \rho_R^{(n)}\right) = \rho'_S \rho_R^{(n+1)}$$

FIG. 1. *A weak broadcasting protocol* (see Def. 3). A reference system R distributes asymmetry/coherence to a system S_n, transforming it from an eigenstate to a superposition of eigenstates. The state of R gets correlated to S_n and is allowed to change, but it must be able to induce the same transition on a fresh copy of the system S_{n+1} for all n. Åberg's protocol [11] is a special case of weak broadcasting of coherence with $\dim R = \infty$.

Theorem 3. *If R is finite-dimensional, then weak broadcasting of coherence or G-asymmetry is impossible, for any connected Lie group G.*

Conclusions

- Thermodynamics as a **resource theory**
- Operational operation of entropy and free energy for **single particles** (no averaging/ thermodyn. limit)
- Results cannot hold in the presence of coherence: **coherence and asymmetry cannot be broadcast**.

Conclusions

- Thermodynamics as a **resource theory**
- Operational operation of entropy and free energy for **single particles** (no averaging/ thermodyn. limit)
- Results cannot hold in the presence of coherence: **coherence and asymmetry cannot be broadcast**.

- MM, *Correlating thermal machines and the second law at the nanoscale*, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041051 (2018); arXiv:1707.03451.
- M. Lostaglio and MM, *Coherence and asymmetry cannot be broadcast,* accepted by Phys. Rev. Lett., arXiv:1812.08214.

Conclusions

- Thermodynamics as a **resource theory**
- Operational operation of entropy and free energy for **single particles** (no averaging/ thermodyn. limit)
- Results cannot hold in the presence of coherence: **coherence and asymmetry cannot be broadcast**.

- MM, *Correlating thermal machines and the second law at the nanoscale*, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041051 (2018); arXiv:1707.03451.
- M. Lostaglio and MM, *Coherence and asymmetry cannot be broadcast,* accepted by Phys. Rev. Lett., arXiv:1812.08214.

Thank you!